

On the well-posedness of the Holm-Staley b -family of equations

H. Inci

November 24, 2015

Abstract

In this paper we consider the Holm-Staley b -family of equations in the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > 3/2$. Using a geometric approach we show that, for any value of the parameter b , the corresponding solution map, $u(0) \mapsto u(T)$, is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.

1 Introduction

Holm and Staley introduced in [15] the following family of equations

$$u_t - u_{xxt} + (b+1)uu_x = bu_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx} \quad (1)$$

or rewritten

$$u_t + uu_x = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) \quad (2)$$

related to shallow water, where

$$u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

denotes the velocity field and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. For $b = 2$ we get the Camassa-Holm equation (see e.g. [5]) and for $b = 3$ the Degasperis-Procesi equation (see e.g. [10]). In his seminal paper [3], Arnold showed that the Euler equation can be interpreted as an equation for a flow on groups of diffeomorphisms of the underlying space. It turns out that quite a few non-linear evolution equations such as the KdV (see [23]) or the Camassa-Holm

equation (see [21]) can be treated by such a geometric approach. Recently, in [12], it has been shown that this is also the case for the Holm-Staley b -family. In [8], Constantin used such a geometric approach to get local well-posedness and blow-up results for the Camassa-Holm equation on $\mathbb{R}$. In this paper we will use these methods to prove our results.

The Cauchy problem for (1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/2$, with initial value $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, is to find $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ for some $T > 0$, such that we have the following identity in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} (-buu_x + (b-3)uu_{xx}) - uu_x ds \quad (3)$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Here we regard $u_x u_{xx}$ as an element of $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ even if $3/2 < s < 2$ – see Appendix A. With this in mind and the fact that $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banachalgebra we see that the integrand in (3) is an element of $C^0([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence (3) makes sense and we have actually $u \in C^1([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Concerning the well-posedness of (1) we have the following result – see also [22]

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s > 3/2$. For any given $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ there is a $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ to the Cauchy problem (1) with initial value $u(0) = u_0$. The T can be chosen to be the same in a neighborhood $U \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$ of u_0 . Moreover the map*

$$U \rightarrow C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad u_0 \mapsto u$$

is continuous.

Now one asks whether the map mentionned in the above theorem is more than continuous, e.g. C^1 or at least locally lipschitz. We have the following negative answer.

Theorem 1.2. *Denote by $U_T \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$ the set of initial values for which we have existence up to at least T . Then the map $U_T \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $u_0 \mapsto u(T)$, mapping the initial value to the time T value of the solution, is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.*

Results saying that the solution map $u_0 \mapsto u(T)$ has not the property to be uniformly continuous on bounded sets is known. On the circle this was

proved in the case $b = 2$ (Camassa-Holm equation) in [13] and in the case $b = 3$ (Degasperis-Procesi equation) in [9] for $s \geq 2$. For the b -family on the line this was proved in [25].

2 The geometric framework

In [16] we considered for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s > 3/2$, the space $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ (cf M. Cantor [6]) given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{ \varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi \text{ is } C^1\text{-diffeomorphism} \mid \varphi_x > 0 \text{ and } \varphi(x) - x \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \} \\ &= \{ \varphi(x) = x + f(x) \mid f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \varphi_x > 0 \}\end{aligned}$$

where $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of Sobolev functions on $\mathbb{R}$ of class s . In terms of the Fourier transform this reads as (see e.g. [2])

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid (1 + \xi^2)^{s/2} \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{C}) \}$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f . Equipped with the scalar product (taking the real part)

$$\langle f, g \rangle_s = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^s \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi$$

it becomes a Hilbert space. Then

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) - id = \{ \varphi(x) - x \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \} \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$$

is open and thus has naturally the structure of a analytic Hilbert manifold (cf e.g. [16]). Moreover $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ is a topological group under composition. More precisely, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$H^{s+k}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$$

is a C^k -map. In the literature the partial maps of the composition map are referred to as the α - resp. the ω -lemma – see [11].

In the following we need the notion of sprays. These are special vectorfields on the tangent bundle – see e.g. [20]. In our case we have the following identification for the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$

$$T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus a spray can be defined by a map S with the following structure

$$\begin{aligned} S : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \\ (\varphi, v) &\mapsto (v, \Gamma_\varphi(v, v)) \end{aligned}$$

where Γ , called the Christoffel map of the spray S , is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \Gamma_\varphi(\cdot, \cdot) \end{aligned}$$

with values in the continuous $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ -valued bilinear forms on $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Since we are just interested in the quadratic form $\Gamma_\varphi(v, v)$ we assume Γ_φ to be symmetric. The integral curves of S projected on $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ are called the geodesics of S . Like in the case of a Riemannian manifold we have also here the notion of an exponential map – see e.g. [20]. More precisely, the equation of the geodesics reads as

$$\varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \quad (4)$$

where the subscript t denotes differentiation with respect to t . For analytic S the Picard iteration gives local solutions of (4) with initial data $\varphi(0) = id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi_t(0) = v \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Because of the scaling properties of (4) there exists a neighborhood V of $0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ such that the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \\ \varphi(0) = id, \varphi_t(0) = v \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

admits a solution on the time interval $[0, 1]$ for all $v \in V$. This allows us to define the exponential map $\exp$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \exp : V &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \\ v &\mapsto \varphi_v(1) \end{aligned}$$

where φ_v is the solution of (5). Because of the analytic dependence of solutions of (5) on the initial values, see [20], we know that $\exp$ is a smooth map. Moreover the derivative of $\exp$ at $0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is the identity, i.e.

$$d_0 \exp : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad v \mapsto v$$

where we have identified $T_{id}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. By the inverse function theorem for Banach spaces, see [20], $\exp$ is an analytic diffeomorphism between a neighborhood U of $0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and a neighborhood V of $id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$$\exp : U \rightarrow V$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism.

For our purpose we define Γ at $id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s > 3/2$ by

$$\Gamma_{id}(v, v) = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-bv v_x + (b-3)v_x v_{xx}) \quad (6)$$

which is a continuous $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ -valued quadratic form on $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ arbitrary, Γ_φ is defined by

$$\Gamma_\varphi(v, v) = (\Gamma_{id}(v \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1})) \circ \varphi. \quad (7)$$

In view of the poor regularity properties of the composition map, apriori it is not clear if Γ defines a smooth spray. In the next section we verify that this is indeed the case. In the following we will make some formal computations to show how the geodesics of S and solutions to (1) are related. Assume that $\varphi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ solves the initial value problem (5). Then we have for $u := \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{tt} &= (u \circ \varphi)_t = u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_t \\ &= u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression into equation (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi &= \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \\ &= \Gamma_{id}(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi \end{aligned}$$

or by (6) equivalently

$$u_t + uu_x = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_x u_{xx})$$

which is equation (2). In the next section we show that by this approach one gets local well-posedness results for equation (1).

3 Local wellposedness of the b -family of equations

In this section we establish local existence and uniqueness for the Cauchy problem

$$u_t + uu_x = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_x u_{xx}), \quad u(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \quad (8)$$

in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/2$.

Theorem 3.1. *The spray S given by*

$$S : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\varphi, u) \mapsto (v, \Gamma_\varphi(u, u))$$

where

$$\Gamma_\varphi(u, u) = R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-b(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u) \cdot (R_{\varphi^{-1}}u)_x + (b-3)(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u)_x \cdot (R_{\varphi^{-1}}u)_{xx})$$

is analytic.

Recall that we use the notation R_φ for right translation $H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$. Before proving Theorem 3.1 we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s > 3/2$. For $k = 1, 2$ the map*

$$\delta^{(k)} : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-k}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (\varphi, f) \mapsto R_\varphi \partial_x^k R_{\varphi^{-1}} f$$

is analytic.

Proof. Consider first the case $k = 1$. Then we have

$$\delta^{(1)}(\varphi, f) = R_\varphi \partial_x R_{\varphi^{-1}} f = \frac{f_x}{\varphi_x}$$

and this is an analytic expression in φ and f . Similarly for $k = 2$ we have we have

$$\delta^{(2)}(\varphi, f) = R_\varphi \partial_x^2 R_{\varphi^{-1}} f = \frac{f_{xx}}{\varphi_x} - \frac{f_x \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{(\varphi_x)^3}$$

which also holds in the case $s < 2$ – see Appendix A for the conventions in this case. We see from the expressions for $\delta^{(2)}(\varphi, f)$ that it is indeed analytic. $\square$

Now we can give the proof Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider the continuous symmetric bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} B : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}) \\ (u, v) &\mapsto \frac{1}{2}(-buv_x - bvu_x) + \frac{1}{2}((b-3)uv_{xx} + (b-3)vu_{xx}). \end{aligned}$$

That the range of this expression is in $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and its continuity follow from the Banach algebra properties of $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ – see e.g. [2] (for $s < 2$ see Appendix A). From Lemma 3.1 we know that the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [(u, v) \mapsto R_\varphi B(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u, R_{\varphi^{-1}}v)]\end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Again using Lemma 3.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [u \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)R_{\varphi^{-1}}u]\end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [u \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)R_{\varphi^{-1}}u]\end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Note that $u \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)R_{\varphi^{-1}}u$ is invertible with inverse given by $v \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}R_{\varphi^{-1}}v$. Now as inversion of linear operators is an analytic process we see that the map

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) &\rightarrow L(H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R})) \\ \varphi &\mapsto [v \mapsto R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}R_{\varphi^{-1}}v]\end{aligned}$$

is analytic. Piecing the maps together we get from the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_\varphi(u, v) &= R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}B(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u, R_{\varphi^{-1}}v) \\ &= R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}R_{\varphi^{-1}}R_\varphi B(R_{\varphi^{-1}}u, R_{\varphi^{-1}}v)\end{aligned}$$

that $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L(H^s(\mathbb{R}), H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ is analytic. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Now consider the initial value problem (IVP)

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \\ \varphi(0) = id \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi_t(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The Picard theorem gives us local solutions to the IVP (9). With this and the discussion at the end of section 2 we get the following local existence result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s > 3/2$. Given $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, there exists $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$, for some $T > 0$, such that*

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) - uu_x \quad (10)$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. Consider the IVP (9). Since Γ is smooth, there exists $T > 0$, such that we have a

$$\varphi \in C^\infty([0, T], \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$$

solving (9). We claim that $u := \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a solution to (10). From [16] we know that $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. We also have $u(0) = \varphi_t(0) = u_0$. From the Sobolev imbedding theorem we see that u resp. φ are in $C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. Taking the t -derivative of $u \circ \varphi$ we get

$$u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_t = u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi.$$

On the other hand we have

$$(u \circ \varphi)_t = \varphi_{tt} = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t)$$

as functions on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus we get

$$u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi = \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t, \varphi_t) \circ \varphi^{-1} - uu_x \\ &= (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) - uu_x \end{aligned}$$

as functions on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. As both sides are continuous functions, we get by the fundamental lemma of calculus for $t \in [0, T]$

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b-3)u_xu_{xx}) - uu_x. \quad (11)$$

But as the integrand is in $C^0([0, T], H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$, the identity (11) holds also in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

To get uniqueness we use the fact that for $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ there is a unique flow $\varphi \in C^1([0, T]; \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$, i.e. φ solving

$$\varphi_t = u \circ \varphi, \quad \varphi(0) = id$$

– see [17]. With this we will prove

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s > 3/2$. Assume that we have two solutions $u, w \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ to the Cauchy problem (3) with $u(0) = w(0) = u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have actually $u = w$ on $[0, T]$.*

Proof. Consider the flows φ resp. ψ in $C^1([0, T], \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$ corresponding to u resp. w as discussed above. We will show that φ resp. ψ are geodesics. By the uniqueness of geodesics with the same initial condition we will get $\varphi = \psi$ resp. $\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1} = \psi_t \circ \psi^{-1}$ or equivalently $u = w$. Now consider $u \circ \varphi$ which is C^1 in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. Taking the t -derivative we get pointwise

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u \circ \varphi) = u_t \circ \varphi + u_x \circ \varphi \cdot u \circ \varphi.$$

Since u is a solution of the Cauchy problem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(u \circ \varphi) &= R_\varphi((1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1}(-buu_x + (b - 3)u_x u_x x)) \\ &= \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is as in (7). From the fundamental lemma of calculus we get

$$\varphi_t(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t \Gamma_\varphi(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}).$$

But as the integrand is in $C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ we see that $\varphi \in C^2([0, T], \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}))$ and that it is a geodesic. Hence the claim. $\square$

Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 we get

Theorem 3.2. *Let $s > 3/2$. The Cauchy problem (3) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. given $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a solution $u \in C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ for some $T > 0$. Further u is unique on $[0, T]$ and the correspondence $u_0 \rightarrow u$ is continuous.*

Remark 3.1. *The correspondence $u_0 \rightarrow u$ is meant as a map*

$$U_T \rightarrow C^0([0, T], H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad u_0 \mapsto u$$

This result is not new. This was e.g. done in [22]. They looked at a regularized version of (8) and took the limit. Also in [24] there is a similar result. They use Kato's abstract semigroup method. The same method is also used in [9] for the periodic case. We used the geometric setting as was e.g. done in [8] to achieve local well-posedness via the Picard theorem.

4 Non-uniform dependence of the solution map

In this section we will prove the non-uniform dependence of the solution map, i.e. we will prove Theorem 1.2. Recall that we denoted for $T > 0$ the set $U_T \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to be those u_0 for which we have existence beyond T . Note also that we have the following scaling property for equation (1): If u is a solution then for $\lambda > 0$

$$v(x, t) := \lambda u(x, \lambda t)$$

is also a solution and $U_{\lambda T} = \frac{1}{\lambda} U_T$. Therefore it suffices to consider the case $T = 1$ to prove Theorem 1.2. Hence the theorem will follow from

Proposition 4.1. *Let $s > 3/2$ and $U := U_T|_{T=1}$. Denote by Φ the time-one map $\Phi : U \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $u_0 \mapsto u(1)$ for the Cauchy problem (1). Then Φ is nowhere locally uniformly continuous, i.e. for any non-empty $V \subseteq U$ the restriction $\Phi|_V$ is not uniformly continuous.*

To prove Proposition 4.1 we will use a conserved quantity (cf [12], Proposition 9). Consider equation (2) and let u be a solution, φ its corresponding flow. Then we have, omitting the arguments (t, x) ,

Lemma 4.2. *Let $y := (1 - \partial_x^2)u$. Then we have for all t the following identity in $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$*

$$y \circ \varphi \cdot (\varphi_x)^b = y(t = 0) \tag{12}$$

Proof. Taking the t -derivate of $y \circ \varphi$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} y \circ \varphi &= \varphi_{tt} - \frac{\varphi_{ttxx}}{\varphi_x^2} + 2 \frac{\varphi_{txx} \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x^3} + \frac{\varphi_{ttx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{txx}}{\varphi_x^3} - 3 \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx} \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x^4} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

On the other hand equation (9) gives

$$R_\varphi(1 - \partial_x^2)(\varphi_{tt} \circ \varphi^{-1}) = R_\varphi(-b(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \cdot (\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1})_x + (b-3)(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1})_x \cdot (\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1})_{xx})$$

Expanding this equation we get

$$\varphi_{tt} - \frac{\varphi_{ttxx}}{\varphi_x^2} + \frac{\varphi_{ttx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} = -b \frac{\varphi_t \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x} + (b-3) \frac{\varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x} \left(\frac{\varphi_{ttx}}{\varphi_x^2} - \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} \right) \quad (14)$$

Hence from (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [(R_\varphi y) \cdot \varphi_x^b] &= \left[\varphi_{tt} - \frac{\varphi_{ttxx}}{\varphi_x^2} + 3 \frac{\varphi_{ttx} \cdot \varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x^3} + \frac{\varphi_{ttx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} - 3 \frac{\varphi_{tx}^2 \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^4} \right] \cdot \varphi_x^b \\ &\quad + \left[\varphi_t - \frac{\varphi_{ttx}}{\varphi_x^2} + \frac{\varphi_{tx} \cdot \varphi_{xx}}{\varphi_x^3} \right] \cdot b \varphi_x^{b-1} \varphi_{tx} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Combining (15) and (14) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} [(R_\varphi y) \cdot \varphi_x^b] = 0$$

hence $[0, T] \rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R}), t \mapsto y \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x^b$ is constant, i.e. (12) holds. $\square$

As $1 - \partial_x^2 : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ is an isomorphism, it will be enough to establish that $y_0 \mapsto y(1)$ is nowhere locally uniformly continuous in order to show Proposition 4.1. We will use (12) in the form

$$y(1) = \left(\frac{y_0}{\varphi_x(1)^b} \right) \circ \varphi(1)^{-1} \quad (16)$$

The approach is as in [19]. The idea is to produce a slight change $\tilde{\varphi}(1)$ so that $\tilde{y}_0$ doesn't change much, but $\tilde{y}(1)$ does. Since composition behaves bad this works. To make such perturbations we will employ the properties of the exponential map. But first we have to establish some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Given $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a neighborhood W of $\varphi_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$, such that for some constant $C > 0$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{C} \|y\|_{s-2} \leq \|R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in W$.

Proof. First we establish the second inequality. For $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ we have (see Remark A.2) $R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)$ converges weakly to y in $H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$. By the uniform boundedness principle we get a neighborhood W_1 of $\varphi_\bullet$ in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_1 \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all $\varphi \in W_1$ and $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$. Now consider the first inequality. As in the first part we get a W_2 and $C_2 > 0$ with

$$\|\varphi_x^b R_\varphi y\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all $\varphi \in W_2$ and $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$. Taking for y the expression $R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)$ gives the first inequality. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $s > 3/2$. The set of $u_0 \in U \cap H^{s+1}$ with $d_{u_0} \exp \neq 0$ is dense in U .*

Proof. As $d_0 \exp$ is the identity map and $u_0 \mapsto d_{u_0} \exp$ is analytic the claim follows immediately. $\square$

Now we can give a proof for Proposition 4.1

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Take $u_0 \in U$. We will show that Φ is not uniformly continuous on any neighborhood of u_0 . As easily seen we can restrict ourselves to a dense subset of U . So we can assume $u_0 \in H^{s+1}$ and $d_{u_0} \exp \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.4. In particular we can fix $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$(d_{u_0} \exp(v))(x_0) > m \|v\|_s, \quad m > 0$$

First we choose $R_1 > 0$ such that Lemma 4.3 holds for $\varphi_\bullet = \exp(u_0)$ in the ball $B_{R_1}(u_0)$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{C_1} \|y\|_{s-2} \leq \|R_\varphi^{-1}(y/\varphi_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_1 \|y\|_{s-2} \quad (17)$$

for all $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in B_{R_1}(u_0)$. Taking $R_2 \leq R_1$ we can ensure additionally

$$\|R_\varphi^{-1}y\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|y\|_{s-2}$$

for all $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in B_{R_2}(u_0)$. By choosing $R_3 \leq R_2$ we can establish the conditions of Lemma A.5 and A.6 for all $\varphi \in B_{R_3}$ where in the following

we denote the constant appearing in both lemmas by C_3 . Further we denote by $C > 0$ the constant from the Sobolev imbedding

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C\|f\|_s$$

Take arbitrary $w, h \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $w, w+h \in B_{R_3}(u_0)$ and consider the Taylor expansion

$$\exp(w+h) = \exp(w) + d_w \exp(w) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{w+th}^2 \exp(h, h) dt$$

Choosing $0 < R_4 \leq R_3$ we can guarantee

$$\|d_w^2 \exp(h, h)\|_s \leq K\|h\|_s^2$$

$$\|d_{w_1}^2 \exp(h, h) - d_{w_2}^2 \exp(h, h)\|_s \leq K\|w_1 - w_2\|_s\|h\|_s^2$$

for all $w, w_1, w_2 \in B_{R_4}(u_0)$ and some constant $K > 0$. By further decreasing $R_5 \leq R_4$ we can ensure $\max\{C \cdot K \cdot R_5, C \cdot K \cdot R_5^2\} < m/2$. Finally by choosing $R_* \leq R_5$ we can ensure

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq L|x - y|$$

for all $\varphi \in \exp(B_{R_*}(u_0))$. The goal is now to prove that Φ is not uniformly continuous on $B_R(u_0)$ for $0 < R \leq R_*$. So we fix $R \leq R_*$. In order to apply Lemma B.2 resp. Lemma B.3 we define the sequence of numbers

$$r_n = \frac{m}{8n} \|v\|_s, \quad n \geq 1$$

and choose $w_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $(x_0 - \frac{1}{L}r_n, x_0 + \frac{1}{L}r_n)$ and $\|w_n\|_s = R/4$. Further we define $v_n := v/n$ and let $N \geq 1$ such that $\|v_n\|_s \leq R/4$ for $n \geq N$. With this preliminary work we define for $n \geq N$ two sequences of initial data:

$$x_n = u_0 + w_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}_n = x_n + v_n = u_0 + w_n + v_n$$

We clearly have $x_n, y_n \in B_R(u_0)$ for $n \geq N$ and $\|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|_s \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Correspondingly we define

$$\varphi_n = \exp(x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n = \exp(\tilde{x}_n)$$

We claim that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi(x_n) - \Phi(\tilde{x}_n)\|_s > 0$. With $y_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)x_n$ and $\tilde{y}_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)\tilde{x}_n$ and using the conservation law (16) it is enough to prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_n/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(\tilde{y}_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} > 0$$

We consider the parts of $y_n, \tilde{y}_n$ separately

$$y_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)(u_0 + w_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{y}_n = (1 - \partial_x^2)(u_0 + w_n + v_n)$$

For the u_0 -part we have, denoting $y_0 = (1 - \partial_x^2)u_0 \in H^{s-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} &\leq \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \\ &\quad + \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right can be estimated by

$$\|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|y_0/(\varphi_n)_x^b - y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-2}$$

The latter goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as dividing by φ_x^b is an analytic process. For the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} &\leq C_3 \|y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_n^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}\|_{s-1} \\ &\leq C_3^2 \|y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}_n\|_s \end{aligned}$$

which goes to 0 as $y_0/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b$ is bounded in H^{s-1} . For the v_n -term we have

$$\|R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)v_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \leq C_2 \|(1 - \partial_x^2)v_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b\|_{s-2}$$

which by the definition of v_n goes to zero. Hence we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}(y_n/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}(\tilde{y}_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \\ = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2} \end{aligned}$$

The claim is now that the latter two terms have disjoint support. To establish this we estimate $|\varphi_n(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)|$. By the Taylor expansion we have

$$\varphi_n = \exp(u_0) + d_{u_0} \exp(w_n) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+tw_n}^2(w_n, w_n) dt$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n = \exp(u_0) + d_{u_0} \exp(w_n + v_n) + \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n + v_n, w_n + v_n) dt$$

For the latter quadratic term we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n + v_n, w_n + v_n) &= d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, w_n) \\ &\quad + 2d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, v_n) + d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(v_n, v_n) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can write

$$\varphi_n - \tilde{\varphi}_n = d_{u_0} \exp(v_n) + \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \int_0^1 (1-t) (d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, w_n) - d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, w_n)) dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = 2 \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(w_n, v_n) dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \int_0^1 (1-t) d_{u_0+t(w_n+v_n)}^2(v_n, v_n) dt$$

For these we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}_1\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_s \leq CK \|v_n\|_s \|w_n\|_s^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} CK \|v\|_s (R/4)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4n} CK R^2 \|v\|_s$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_2\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_s \leq 2CK \|v_n\|_s \|w_n\|_s \leq \frac{1}{n} CK \|v\|_s (R/4) \leq \frac{2}{4n} CK R \|v\|_s$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{R}_3\|_\infty \leq C \|\mathcal{R}_3\|_s \leq CK \|v_n\|_s^2 \leq \frac{1}{n} CK \|v\|_s (R/4) \leq \frac{1}{4n} CK R \|v\|_s$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}(x_0)| &\geq |d_{u_0} \exp(v_n)| - \|\mathcal{R}_1\|_\infty - \|\mathcal{R}_2\|_\infty - \|\mathcal{R}_3\|_\infty \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n} m \|v\|_s - \frac{1}{n} \frac{m}{2} \|v\|_s = \frac{m}{2n} \|v\|_s \end{aligned}$$

The support of $R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\varphi_n)_x^b)$ is contained in $(\varphi_n(x_0) - r_n, \varphi_n(x_0) + r_n)$ taking into account the lipschitz property of φ_n with lipschitz constant L and the definition of w_n . Analogously the support of $R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)$ is contained in $(\tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0) - r_n, \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0) + r_n)$. Note that the conditions of Lemma B.2 resp. B.3 are fulfilled (with $s - 2$ playing the role of s in the Lemma) as

$$r_n \leq |\varphi_n(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)|/4$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\varphi_n)_x^b) - R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2}^2 \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C}(\|R_{\varphi_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\varphi_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2}^2 + \|R_{\tilde{\varphi}_n}^{-1}((1 - \partial_x^2)w_n/(\tilde{\varphi}_n)_x^b)\|_{s-2}^2) \\ & \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{C} \frac{2}{C^2} \|(1 - \partial_x^2)w_n\|_{s-2}^2 \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{K} \|w_n\|_s^2 = \tilde{K} R^2/4 \end{aligned}$$

So for any $R \leq R_*$ we have constructed $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}, (\tilde{x}_n)_{n \geq 1} \subseteq B_R(u_0)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - \tilde{x}_n\|_s = 0$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi(x_n) - \Phi(\tilde{x}_n)\|_s \geq C \cdot R$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of R showing the claim. $\square$

A Sobolev spaces with negative indices

In this section we derive the formulas for the expressions which involve Sobolev spaces with negative indices.

Lemma A.1. *Let $1/2 < s_1 < 1$ and $-1/2 < s_2 < 0$. Then multiplication*

$$H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, g) \mapsto f \cdot g$$

extends to a continuous map

$$H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$$

where $H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the dual of $H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For $f \in H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}), g \in H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ we define $f \cdot g \in H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ by its action on a testfunction ψ as

$$\langle f \cdot g, \psi \rangle := \langle g, f \cdot \psi \rangle$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing of $H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$. As $f \cdot \psi \in H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ this definition makes sense. Further we have

$$|\langle g, f \cdot \psi \rangle| \leq \|g\|_{s_2} \|f \cdot \psi\|_{-s_2} \leq C \|g\|_{s_2} \|f\|_{s_1} \|\psi\|_{-s_2}$$

where we have used that multiplication

$$H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$$

is continuous – see e.g. [16]. This shows that $f \cdot g \in H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R})$. But it also shows that

$$\|f \cdot g\|_{s_2} \leq C \|f\|_{s_1} \|g\|_{s_2}.$$

Hence the claim. $\square$

For the product we have the following Leibniz rule.

Lemma A.2. *Let $1/2 < \tilde{s} < 1$ and $f, g \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $f \cdot g \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ with*

$$\partial_x(f \cdot g) = f_x \cdot g + f \cdot g_x$$

where the subscript refers to differentiation.

Proof. We just have to prove the formula for the derivative. For test functions ψ, ϕ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_x(f \cdot \phi), \psi \rangle &= -\langle f \cdot \phi, \psi_x \rangle = -\langle f, \phi \cdot \psi_x \rangle = -\langle f, (\phi \cdot \psi)_x - \phi_x \cdot \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi \cdot f_x, \psi \rangle + \langle f \cdot \phi_x, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the following identity in $H^{\tilde{s}-1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\partial_x(f \cdot \phi) = f_x \cdot \phi + f \cdot \phi_x.$$

Now letting ϕ tend to g in $H^{1-\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ we get by Lemma A.1

$$\partial_x(f \cdot g) = f_x \cdot g + f \cdot g_x$$

as elements in $H^{\tilde{s}-1}(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

Now we extend right translation R_φ to negative Sobolev spaces

Lemma A.3. *Let $s > 3/2$ and $-1/2 < \tilde{s} < 0$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ the map*

$$R_\varphi : H^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$$

extends to a continuous map $H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $f \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ and ψ a testfunction. We define

$$\langle R_\varphi f, \psi \rangle := \langle f, \frac{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}} \rangle. \quad (18)$$

We know – see e.g. [16] – that

$$\left\| \frac{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}} \right\|_{-\tilde{s}} \leq C \|\psi\|_{-\tilde{s}}$$

holds. Therefore $R_\varphi f \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ and further

$$|\langle R_\varphi f, \psi \rangle| = \left| \langle f, \frac{\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}} \rangle \right| \leq C \|f\|_{\tilde{s}} \|\psi\|_{-\tilde{s}}$$

which shows that $R_\varphi : H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ is a continuous linear map. $\square$

Remark A.1. *We will sometimes write $f \circ \varphi$ instead of $R_\varphi f$ even if f is in a negative Sobolev space.*

Remark A.2. *The composition map*

$$H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto f \circ \varphi$$

is not continuous. But as can be seen from (18) it is weakly continuous, i.e

$$H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (f, \varphi) \mapsto \langle f \circ \varphi, \psi \rangle$$

is continuous for any testfunction ψ .

There is also the following chain rule

Lemma A.4. *Let $s > 3/2$ and $1/2 < \tilde{s} < 1$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in H^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\partial_x(f \circ \varphi) = f_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x.$$

as an identity in $H^{\tilde{s}-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let ψ be a testfunction. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_x(f \circ \varphi), \psi \rangle &= -\langle f \circ \varphi, \psi_x \rangle = -\langle f, \frac{\psi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}} \rangle = -\langle f, \partial_x(\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle f_x, \psi \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle = \langle f_x \circ \varphi, \psi \cdot \varphi_x \rangle = \langle f_x \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi_x, \psi \rangle\end{aligned}$$

which shows the claim. $\square$

We will also need the following Lipschitz type estimate.

Lemma A.5. *Let $s > 3/2$ and $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$. There is a neighborhood $W \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ of $\varphi_\bullet$ and a constant $C > 0$ with*

$$\|f \circ \varphi_1 - f \circ \varphi_2\|_{s-2} \leq C \|f\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{s-1}$$

for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W$ and for all $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We have from the fundamental lemma of calculus

$$f(\varphi_2(x)) = f(\varphi_1(x)) + \int_0^1 f'(\varphi_1(x) + t(\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x))) (\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x)) dt$$

Taking W small enough we can ensure that $\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. We thus see that

$$t \rightarrow (f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))) \cdot (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1)$$

is continuous from $[0, 1]$ to $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. As evaluation at $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear map on $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ we have the identity in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$

$$f \circ \varphi_2 - f \circ \varphi_1 = \int_0^1 (f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))) \cdot (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) dt$$

where the integral is understood as a Riemann integral. We thus have

$$\|f \circ \varphi_2 - f \circ \varphi_1\|_{s-2} \leq \int_0^1 \|f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))\|_{s-2} \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{s-1} dt$$

For W small enough we can ensure that

$$\|f' \circ (\varphi_1 + t(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1))\|_{s-2} \leq C \|f'\|_{s-2}$$

Thus we get

$$\|f \circ \varphi_2 - f \circ \varphi_1\|_{s-2} \leq C \|f\|_{s-1} \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_{s-1}$$

For general $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ we get the inequality by taking approximations f_n because $f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ is continuous in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

For the inversion map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^{-1}$ we have

Lemma A.6. *Let $s > 3/2$ and $\varphi_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$. There is a neighborhood $W \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ of $\varphi_{\bullet}$ and a constant $C > 0$ with*

$$\|\varphi_2^{-1} - \varphi_1^{-1}\|_{s-1} \leq C \|\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\|_s$$

for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in W$.

Proof. If $s > 5/2$ then the lemma follows from [16] where it was shown that $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ is C^1 . So it remains to check the case $s \leq 5/2$. Consider first the case $3/2 < s < 2$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^{-1} - \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}|^2 dx$$

As by the Sobolev imbedding the C^1 -norm is bounded by the H^s -norm we have

$$|\varphi^{-1}(x) - \varphi^{-1}(y)| \leq C|x - y|$$

with a uniform C in a neighborhood of $\varphi_{\bullet}$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x - \varphi(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x))|^2 dx$$

By a change of variables we can bound the latter by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)|^2 \tilde{\varphi}_x(x) dx$$

As φ_x is uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of $\varphi_{\bullet}$ we get

$$\|\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{s-1}$$

Let us estimate the fractional part. Using the Sobolev-Slobodecki $[\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}]_{\lambda}$ norm with $\lambda = s - 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|[\varphi^{-1}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)] - [\varphi^{-1}(y) - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(y)]|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(x)) - \Phi(\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(y))|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{\varphi}(x)) - \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$$

Change of variables gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)|^2}{|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)|^{1+2\lambda}} \tilde{\varphi}_x(x) \tilde{\varphi}_x(y) dx dy \\ \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} \frac{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}}{|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

which by the fact that $K|\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \tilde{\varphi}(y)| \geq |x - y|$ holds is bounded by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{1+2\lambda}} dx dy$$

By the fundamental lemma of calculus

$$\Phi(x) = \left(\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + t(\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)))} \right) \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)) = \Psi(x) \cdot (\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x))$$

Writing

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(y) = \Psi(x) ([\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)] - [\tilde{\varphi}(y) - \varphi(y)]) + (\Psi(x) - \Psi(y))(\tilde{\varphi}(y) - \varphi(y))$$

Thus we can estimate

$$[\Phi]_\lambda \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\Psi(x)| [\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi]_\lambda + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)| [\Psi]_\lambda$$

Note that $[\Psi]_\lambda < \infty$ as

$$t \mapsto \frac{1}{\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x) + t(\tilde{\varphi}(x) - \varphi(x)))} - 1$$

is a continuous path in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore we get

$$[\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}]_\lambda \leq C \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{s-1}$$

Now consider the case $2 \leq s \leq 5/2$. Taking the derivative we get

$$(\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1})' = \varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$$

which rewritten is

$$\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1} - \varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} + \varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$$

For the last two terms we have

$$\|\varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2} \leq C\|\varphi_x - \tilde{\varphi}_x\|_{s-2} \leq C\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{s-1}$$

For the first two terms we can argue as in the proof of Lemma A.5 and write

$$\|\varphi_x \circ \varphi^{-1} - \varphi_x \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2} \leq C\|\varphi_x - 1\|_{s-1}\|\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2}$$

Hence using the estimate from above for $\|\varphi^{-1} - \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}\|_{s-2}$ as $0 \leq s-2 < 1$ we get the claim. $\square$

B Inequalities for fractional Sobolev functions

In this section we will establish inequalities of the form

$$\|f + g\| \geq C(\|f\|_s + \|g\|_s)$$

for functions f, g with disjoint support. For fractional s this causes some difficulties as the norm $\|\cdot\|_s$ is defined in a non-local way. For fixed supports we have

Lemma B.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq (-3, -1)$ and $\text{supp } g \subseteq (1, 3)$ we have*

$$\|f + g\|_s^2 \geq C(\|f\|_s^2 + \|g\|_s^2)$$

Proof. We take $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subseteq (-3.5, -0.5)$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subseteq (0.5, 3.5)$ such that $\varphi|_{(-3, -1)} \equiv 1$ and $\psi|_{(1, 3)} \equiv 1$. We then have

$$\|f\|_s = \|\varphi(f + g)\|_s \leq C_1\|f + g\|_s$$

and similarly

$$\|g\|_s = \|\psi(f + g)\|_s \leq C_2\|f + g\|_s$$

giving the desired result. $\square$

In the following we will use the fact that the H^s -norm is equivalent to the homogeneous $\dot{H}^s$ -norm if we restrict ourselves to functions with support in a fixed compact $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (see e.g. [4] p. 39). Recall

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

We often also use $f^\lambda(x) := f(x/\lambda)$ for which we have the following scaling property

$$\|f^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \lambda^{1-2s} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2$$

We have

Lemma B.2. *Let $s \geq 0$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ with the following property: For x, y in $\mathbb{R}$ with $0 < r := |x - y|/4 < 1$ we have*

$$\|f + g\|_s^2 \geq C(\|f\|_s^2 + \|g\|_s^2)$$

for all functions $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq (x - r, x + r)$, $\text{supp } g \subseteq (y - r, y + r)$

Proof. We use the homogeneous norm. Now scaling with $\lambda = (4r)^{-1}$ gives a situation as in Lemma B.1. We have

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \lambda_n^{2s-1} \|f^\lambda + g^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2$$

Now by Lemma B.1 we then get

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \geq C \lambda^{2s-1} (\|f^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|g^\lambda\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2)$$

Scaling back gives

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 \geq C(\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 + \|g\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2)$$

This establishes the lemma. □

We will encounter Lemma B.2 also for some negative values of s . In these cases we will use

Lemma B.3. *Let $s < 0$ and the same situation as in Lemma B.2. Then we have*

$$\|f + g\|_s^2 \geq C(\|f\|_s^2 + \|g\|_s^2)$$

for all functions $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq (x - r, x + r)$, $\text{supp } g \subseteq (y - r, y + r)$

Proof. We claim that for functions with support in some fixed compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the homogeneous norm

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

is equivalent to the non-homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|_s$. One then can argue as in Lemma B.2 by scaling to a fixed situation as in Lemma B.1. So it remains to show the equivalence of the norms. We clearly have $\|\cdot\|_s \leq \|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^s}$ since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \xi^2)^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2s} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi$$

For the other direction we use the dual definition of the Sobolev norm

$$\|f\|_s = \sup_{\|g\|_{-s} \leq 1} |\langle f, g \rangle|$$

and analogously for the homogeneous norm. Taking $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\psi = 1$ on K we have for f with support in K

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \sup_{\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}} \leq 1} |\langle f, \psi \cdot g \rangle|$$

Now note that we have equivalence of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{-s}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}}$ for functions with support in some fixed compact. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{\dot{H}^{-s}} \leq 1} |\langle f, \psi \cdot g \rangle| \leq \sup_{g, \|\psi \cdot g\|_{-s} \leq C_1} |\langle f, \psi \cdot g \rangle| \\ &\leq C_1 \sup_{\|g\|_{-s} \leq 1} |\langle f, g \rangle| = \|f\|_{-s} \end{aligned}$$

showing the equivalence. □

References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. Robbin, *Transversal mappings and flows*, W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1967.
- [2] R. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.

- [3] V. Arnold: *Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **16**, 1(1966), 319-361.
- [4] H. Bahouri, J-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin: *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 343. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011
- [5] R. Camassa, D. Holm: *An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **71**(1993), 1661-1664.
- [6] M. Cantor: *Groups of diffeomorphisms of R^n and the flow of a perfect fluid*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 81, 205-208 (1975)
- [7] A. Constantin, J. Escher: *Well-posedness, global existence, and blow-up phenomena for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., **51**(1998), 475-504.
- [8] A. Constantin: *Existence of permanent and breaking waves for a shallow water equation: a geometric approach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 50, No.2, 321-362 (2000)
- [9] O. Christov, S. Hakkaev: *On the Cauchy problem for the periodic b-family of equations and of the non-uniform continuity of Degasperis-Procesi equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 360, No. 1, 47-56 (2009).
- [10] A. Degasperis, M. Procesi: *Asymptotic integrability*, in Symmetry and Perturbation Theory, Rome, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1999
- [11] D. Ebin, J. Marsden: *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. Math., **92**(1970), 102-163.
- [12] J. Escher, B. Kolev: *The Degasperis-Procesi equation as a non-metric Euler equation*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.0508v1>, 4 Aug 2009
- [13] A. Himonas, C. Kenig, G. Misiolek: *Non-uniform dependence for the periodic CH equation*, Commun. Partial Differ. Equations 35, No. 6, 1145-1162 (2010)
- [14] A. Himonas, G. Misiolek: *The Cauchy problem for an integrable shallow-water equation.*, Differ. Integral Equ. 14, No.7, 821-831 (2001)

- [15] D. Holm, M. Staley: *Nonlinear balance and exchange of stability in dynamics of solitons, peakons, ramps/cliffs and leftons in a 1+1 nonlinear evolutionary PDE*, Phys. Lett., A 308, No.5-6, 437-444 (2003).
- [16] H. Inci, T. Kappeler, P. Topalov: *On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (2013), no. 1062
- [17] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the incompressible Euler Equation*, thesis, arXiv:1301.5997 (2013)
- [18] H. Inci: *On a Lagrangian formulation of the incompressible Euler equation*, arXiv:1301.5994 (2013)
- [19] H. Inci: *On the regularity of the solution map of the incompressible Euler equation*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 12 (2015), no. 2, 97113
- [20] S. Lang: *Fundamentals of Differential Geometry*, Springer, 1999.
- [21] G. Misiolek: *A shallow water equation as a geodesic flow on the Bott-Virasoro group*, J. Geom. Phys. 24, No.3, 203-208 (1998).
- [22] Y. Li, P. Olver: *Well-posedness and blow-up solutions for an integrable nonlinearly dispersive model wave equation*, J. Differential Equations 162 (2000), 27-63.
- [23] V.Yu. Ovsienko, B.A. Khesin: *The Korteweg-de Vries superequation as Euler equation*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 21, No.4, 81-82 (1987).
- [24] G. Rodriguez-Blanco: *On the Cauchy problem for the Camassa-Holm equation*, Nonlinear Anal. 14 (2001), 309-327.
- [25] L. Yan: *Nonuniform dependence for the Cauchy problem of the general b-equation*, J. Math. Phys. 52, 033101 (2011)

Hasan Inci
 EPFL SB MATHAA PDE
 MA C1 627 (Bâtiment MA)
 Station 8
 CH-1015 Lausanne
 Switzerland
email: hasan.inci@epfl.ch